

Message

From: Buffo, Corey [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=D5112A95233948D18E8EFF97D1D9C7F0-BUFFO, COREY]
Sent: 4/4/2017 9:19:15 PM
To: Fleisig, Erica [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=57317ce4c3234afe86380beab9c70bd9-EFleisig]; Hisel-Mccoy, Sara [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=0641d449fe4e4444971b9f695b74f0b8-Sara Hisel-Mccoy]
CC: Crk, Tanja [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=fccf9b9519484e8f88e90d3573253817-Tanja Crk]; McRae, Evelyn [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=db7c8fe7e7c54c8a961b4bf75f73d4a3-McRae, Evelyn]
Subject: RE: WA AL+ and petition denial

Right, not surprised or mad.

From: Fleisig, Erica
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 5:18 PM
To: Hisel-Mccoy, Sara <Hisel-McCoy.Sara@epa.gov>
Cc: Crk, Tanja <Crk.Tanja@epa.gov>; Buffo, Corey <Buffo.Corey@epa.gov>; McRae, Evelyn <McRae.Evelyn@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: WA AL+ and petition denial

I think when R10 talked to WA on Friday about the multi-colored litigation, they mentioned this petition response generally and WA said we were "on the right track." I think there's still a bit more to nail down re: the top priorities. R10 says their conversations with WA to date have been focused on updates to the aquatic life criteria in general, but not one pollutant (e.g. copper) specifically. But we'll make sure Washington isn't surprised before this gets sent ☺

Thanks again,
Erica

From: Hisel-Mccoy, Sara
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:50 PM
To: Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>
Cc: Crk, Tanja <Crk.Tanja@epa.gov>; Buffo, Corey <Buffo.Corey@epa.gov>; McRae, Evelyn <McRae.Evelyn@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: WA AL+ and petition denial

Great. That works for me. Is the state comfortable with this approach?

Standards and Health Protection Division

On Apr 4, 2017, at 4:40 PM, Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov> wrote:

We'll incorporate Angela's edits, run it by Corey one more time, and then get it to Betsy S for her review. Tanja and I will confirm that R10 will relay our approach (conceptually) to the state. I believe they have done so, or intend to do so soon.

Thank you!
Erica

From: Hisel-Mccoy, Sara
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:37 PM
To: Crk, Tanja <Crk.Tanja@epa.gov>

Cc: Buffo, Corey <Buffo.Corey@epa.gov>; Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>; McRae, Evelyn <McRae.Evelyn@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: WA AL+ and petition denial

Thanks much for sending. I'm generally fine with it but we need to run it by the state- at least conceptually.

Standards and Health Protection Division

On Apr 4, 2017, at 4:17 PM, Crk, Tanja <Crk.Tanja@epa.gov> wrote:

Sara,

Please see attached denial letter same as from the link below (as of 4:17pm today).

Tanja

From: Hisel-Mccoy, Sara

Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:16 PM

To: Buffo, Corey <Buffo.Corey@epa.gov>

Cc: Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>; Crk, Tanja <Crk.Tanja@epa.gov>; McRae, Evelyn <McRae.Evelyn@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: WA AL+ and petition denial

Could someone send this to me as an attachment? Thanks. Sara

Standards and Health Protection Division

On Apr 3, 2017, at 5:22 PM, Buffo, Corey <Buffo.Corey@epa.gov> wrote:

I think: **Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

I don't think Angela has reviewed all of this yet, **Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

https://usepa.sharepoint.com/sites/OW_Work/cleanwaterstandards/projects/R10WAHHC/IDHHC/_layouts/15/WopiFrame.aspx?sourcedoc=%7BB877B06A-FA7D-41AD-A6D8-2D7EB0F0A46C%7D&file=NWEA%20petition%20denial_WA%20toxics_4%202%202017_v2.docx&action=default

<NWEA petition denial_WA toxics_4 2 2017_v2.docx>